

Letter: CSU newsletter should have offered objectivity on Glade Reservoir issue

Jan. 25

coloradoan.com

I was very disturbed to see the latest edition of Colorado Water, the newsletter for the Water Center at CSU, contain a lengthy article about the proposed Glade Reservoir Project. This article was written by the spokesperson for the project and was basically a long, nonscientific, subjective diatribe of support for the project.

As a public university, CSU is supposed to be objective in its work; and at times when there is controversy surrounding topics, the university should allow voices from both sides to be heard. That's why Save The Poudre: Poudre Waterkeeper expects to also get equal print in the next edition of Colorado Water. Of course, the Glade Reservoir Project is extremely controversial, with conflict swirling all around it and a dedicated band of river protectors fighting to stop the project and keep the Poudre alive. Save The Poudre's work has been enormously popular locally, and the organization has made a big impact on statewide river protection policies.

It's imperative that a publication from a public university that accepts public money adhere to strict guidelines of free and independent thinking and speech. We look forward to an invitation from Colorado Water for equal time and print.

Gary Wockner, Ph.D., director, Save The Poudre: Poudre Waterkeeper